EX PARTE OR DOCKET HEE COPY ORIGINAL'S

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

★m: JAJ
POLICY & PLANNING
BRANCH ROOM 5202

22 FEB 1993

1N REPLY REFER TO: 7330-7/1700A3

RECEIVED

Ms. Patsy Tejera 15711 Country Lake Dr. Tampa, Florida 33624

MAR - 9 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Ms. Tejera:

This is in reply to your inquiry to Congressman Billrakis regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Those rules have been in place for over 20 years. While they have been amended on numerous occasions since that time, they nonetheless embody regulatory concepts based on yesteryear's technology and, unless changed, will stifle the growth and development of private land mobile radio technology and services, which are used primarily by local governments, public safety entities, and businesses to enhance their productivity. The Commission issued the Notice, therefore, to solicit comment from all interested persons on a wide variety of proposals designed to increase channel capacity, to promote more efficient use of these channels, and to simplify the rules governing use of these channels.

The proposals in the <u>Notice</u> reflect to a large extent concepts and proposals submitted in the initial inquiry stages of this proceeding. None of the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u>, however, are engraved in stone. Indeed, the proposals represent our best judgment at this stage of the proceeding on steps that must be taken to improve the regulatory climate for users of the private land mobile radio spectrum below 512 MHz. To this end, some of the critical issues that must be resolved relate to channel spacing, the amount of time provided to users to convert to new technical standards, how the 300 to 500 percent increase in channel capacity should be licensed, how the rules should be written to provide users technical flexibility, and whether the current nineteen radio services should be consolidated and, if so, how. I have enclosed for your information a copy of that part of the <u>Notice</u> that describes the numerous proposals.

You are specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the \underline{Notice} .

No. of Copies rec'd 2 Kopies
List A B C D E

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take into careful consideration all their comments. Your concerns will be fully evaluated when we develop final rules in this proceeding. As indicated in the Notice, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Comments on the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u> are due May 28, 1993, and Reply Comments are due July 14, 1993. We expect final rules to be issued in 1994.

Chief, Private Radio Bureau

Copy to: Congressman Bilirakis

Enclosures: Notice Order Discussion paper

cc:

CNTL NO - 9300470

Chief, PRBureau Chief, LM&MDivision Docket Files, Room 222 P&P Branch File (Pink)

DFertig/RShiben:/rb/lm:PR CONGRESS/ PatTejera/9300470

Congressional

DUE OBC: 2-17-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS, AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 02/09/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRES	P DATE DUE	DATE DUE OLA(857)
9300470	02/09/93	02/02/93	02/22/93	
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME /	REPLY FOR	SIG OF
Congressman	Michae	l Bilirakis		BC
CONSTITU	ENT'S NAME		SUBJECT	
several	inq.	comments on PR	Docket 92-2	35
REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	RE	F TO
PRB/20000)				
5-10-93				
DATE	DATE	DAT	E	DATE
02/09/93				P R
				WATER TO
REMARKS: D	irect response	to constituents	. Copy of r	response
	o Washington of			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

MICHAEL BILIRAKIS

9TH DISTRICT, FLORIDA

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE
HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND FINANCE
COMMERCE, CONSUMER PROTECTION, AND COMPETITIVENESS

922350

PLEASE RESPOND TO:

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

2432 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0909 (202) 225-5755

DISTRICT OFFICES:

1100 CLEVELAND STREET, SUITE 1600 CLEARWATER, FL 34815 (813) 441-3721

2739 U.S. HWY. 19, SUITE 110 HOLIDAY, FL 34691 (813) 847-1022

408 WEST RENFRO STREET SUITE 107F PLANT CITY, FL 33566 (813) 752-9280

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS
RANKING MEMBER, OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS
HOSPITALS AND HEALTH CARE

SELECT COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES

CHAIRMAN, TASK FORCE ON THE ELDERLY
CO-CHAIRMAN, TASK FORCE ON
INFANT MORTALITY
CONGRESSIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CAUCUS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-0909

February 2, 1993

Legislative Liaison Federal Communications Commission Office of Congressional and Public Affairs 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am enclosing, for your review, a copy of comments which I received from several of my constituents.

In summary, they are concerned that the F.C.C. plans to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. If this is done many who own radio controlled models will lose not only the time enjoyed using these models, but the money that was invested in the purchasing of this expensive equipment.

I would appreciate your thorough review of the attached comments. Please forward your response directly to my constituents.

I would also be grateful if you would send a copy of your response to my Washington, D.C. office.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

With best wishes, I am

Michael Bilirakis Member of Congress

MB:hs

15711 Country Lake Dr. Tampa, Florida 33624 January 25, 1993

The Honorable Michael Bilirakis United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

FEB 02 1993

Dear Mr. Bilirakis,

I am a resident of your district, and I am an avid R/C model airplane enthusiast. Like thousands of other adult men and women, I have gotten great pleasure from building and flying models for over two years.

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for models and increase the risk of accidents.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

3 6

When we fly our model airplanes, safety is paramount. The risks that the FCC proposal would bring about would certainly impact human safety and property damage. After all, since these potential users are mobile, the only way we're going to know we have a problem is after we crash!

Please understand that many model airplanes have wing spans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. If a pager or cellular phone experiences interference, the result is a lost message. If a model airplane gets such interference the result is a crash. I am well aware that we modelers don't have the financial clout of telecommunications companies; but surely we are entitled to safely pursue our hobby, as we have for years.

Please help me and hundreds of thousands of others continue the safe enjoyment of our pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposal PR Docket 92-235 for the 72 - 76 MHz band. We all need your help urgently because the FCC has a deadline of February 26, 1993 after which it may become more difficult to avoid halting these proposals from going into effect.

Sincerely,

Patsv Tejera